

2G1516 Formal Methods 2G1521 Formal Methods for SEDS

SOLUTIONS
22 August 2005, 2pm–7pm

Mads Dam
KTH/IMIT

1.

1. skolem 1 y; flatten; split; inst 1 y
2. Let R be the relation $\{(0,0)\}$ on binary numbers $\{0,1\}$, and let $Q(x)$ be the proposition $0 = 1$, i.e. false. Then $(\forall x.R(x,x)) \rightarrow Q(0)$ and $R(0,0)$ are both valid, but $Q(0)$ is not.

2.

1. $\Box((begin_read \rightarrow Oend_read) \wedge (begin_write \rightarrow Oend_write))$
2. $\Box((begin_read \rightarrow \langle \rangle end_read) \wedge (begin_write \rightarrow \langle \rangle end_write))$
3. $\Box(begin_read \vee begin_write \vee end_read \vee end_write)$
4. $\langle \rangle \Box \neg begin_write$
5. $(\Box(\neg end_write \wedge \neg begin_read) \vee ((\neg begin_read)U end_write))$

-
3. The Buchi automaton has two states, s_1 and s_2 . Both are accepting, and s_1 is initial. There are three transitions, from s_1 to s_1 labelled $(\neg begin_read) \wedge (\neg end_write)$, from s_1 to s_2 labelled end_write , and from s_2 to s_2 labelled $true$.

4.

```
{true}
{forall j. 1 <= j && j < 1 implies 0 >= a[j]}
x := 0 ;
{forall j. 1 <= j && j < 1 implies x >= a[j]}
i := 1 ;
{forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
while i <= m do
  {i <= m && forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
  if a[i] > x
```

```

then
  {i <= m && a[i] > x &&
   forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
  {i <= m && a[i] >= a[i] &&
   forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies a[i] >= a[j]}
  x := a[i]
  {i <= m && x >= a[i] && forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
else
  {i <= m && a[i] <= x &&
   forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
  skip
  {i <= m && a[i] <= x &&
   forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
endif ;
{i <= m && x >= a[i] && forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
{i <= m && forall j. 1 <= j && j < i+1 implies x >= a[j]}
i := i + 1
{forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
od
{i > m && forall j. 1 <= j && j < i implies x >= a[j]}
{forall j. 1 <= j && j <= m implies x >= a[i]}

```

5. Both equivalences hold. Let $P = \tau.a.0 + b.0$, $Q = \tau.a.0 + \tau.b.0 + b.0$, $R = a.0 + \tau.a.0 + b.0$, and let I be the identity relation on CCS terms. The relations $I \cup \{(P, Q)\}$ and $I \cup \{(P, R)\}$ are weak bisimulation relations. I leave out the check of this assertion (which should be done).

6. The following relation is a weak bisimulation relation:

$$\{(S^2, I), (S_1^2, (S_1^1[c/v] | S_1^1[\bar{c}/p]) \setminus \{c\}), (s_1^2, (S^1[c/v] | S_1^1[\bar{c}/p]) \setminus \{c\}), (s_2^2, (S_1^1[c/v] | S_1^1[\bar{c}/p]) \setminus \{c\})\}$$

Again I leave out the check of the weak bisimulation conditions.